IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 3463 OF 2005

PETITIONER : Hosila Prasad, Son of Sri Jamuna Ram, aged about 41 years, working as a Lab Attendant, posted at Government College, Akaltara, District : Janjgir - Champa (C.G)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary, Higher Education Department, D.K.S. Bhavan Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Commissioner, Higher Education, Near Science College, Raipur, District : Raipur (C.G.)

3. The Principal, Government College, Akaltara, District : Janjgir - Champa (C.G.)

P.R. No. 3568/05
Presented by Shri V.K. Pendey
dated 26/7/05

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 3463/05 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	08-08-2005 W.P.No.3463/2005 Heard Shri V.K. Pandey, counsel for the petitioner and Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer for the State on advance copy. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was working on the post of Lab Attendant and was removed from service on account of conviction in a criminal case. He further submits that later on the petitioner was acquitted from the criminal case and on the basis of acquittal he was reinstated in service. The submission of learned for the petitioner is that though the petitioner has been reinstated in service but the service benefits of the period from the date of termination till reinstatement has not been paid to him. Shri Pandey submits that the petitioner has filed many representation but they have not been decided by the concerned authority. He prays that for the time being the petition may be disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file a detailed representation before the concerned authority and the	

(पीछे देखिये)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

39

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ...W.P. 3463/05... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

— 2 —

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	concerned authority may dispose of the representation within the stipulated period granted by this Court. To this limited prayer, made by learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the State, has no objection. Consequently the prayer is allowed. It is hereby directed that if so advised the petitioner may file a detailed representation before the competent authority within a period of 15 days from today and if such a representation is filed, the competent authority shall dispose of the same as early as possible preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the said representation alongwith a copy of this order and also with a copy of this writ petition. With the aforesaid observations and directions the petition stands finally disposed of at this stage. Consequently, I.A.No.6572/05 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Gowri	Sd/- Sunil Kumar Sinha Judge

(पीछे देखिये)